

larrabri LEP 2012 -	Amendment No 8 - Active S	Street Frontages in Central	Business Districts
Proposal Title :	Narrabri LEP 2012 - Amendm	ent No 8 - Active Street Frontag	es in Central Business Districts
Proposal Summary :	The Planning Proposal aims to amend Narrabri LEP 2012 by the inclusion of an additional local provision that relates to 'Active Street Frontages' for certain land zoned B2 Local Centre within Narrabri, Boggabri and Wee Waa Central Business Districts.		
PP Number :	PP_2016_NARRB_001_00	Dop File No :	16/02956
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	09-Feb-2016	LGA covered :	Narrabri
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Narrabri Shire Council
State Electorate :	BARWON	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
	nd zoned B2 Local Centre within mapped on the 'Active Street Fro		aa Central Business Districts
	cer Contact Details	2	
Contact Name :	Gina Davis		
Contact Number :	0267019687		
Contact Email :	gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Luke Flood		
Contact Number :	0299685500		
Contact Email :	lukef@narrabri.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Data	a		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy ;	N/A

Narrabri LEP 2012 - Amendment No 8 - Active Street Frontages in Central Business Districts

Narrabri LEP 2012 - Ar	mendment No 8 - Activ	e Street Frontages in Centr	al Business Districts
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment ;	mment : The Department of Planning and Environment's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge. The Northern Region has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental Officers and lobbyists concerning the proposal.		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :		nded outcomes of the Planning dment to Narrabri LEP 2012.	Proposal are adequately expressed
Explanation of provi	isions provided - s55(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The Planning Proposal objectives and intended	-	ntended provisions to achieve the
Justification - s55 (2	?)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the Dire	ector General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions iden	tified by RPA :	4.3 Flood Prone Land	
* May need the Director General's agreement 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies			
Is the Director Gener	Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes		
c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes			
d) Which SEPPs have t	he RPA identified?		

Narrabri LEP 2012 - Amendment No 8 - Active Street Frontages in Central Business Districts

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : The New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Narrabri LGA.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? $\ensuremath{\text{N/A}}$

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The inclusion of a local provision relating to 'Active Street Frontages' will require Council to identify the relevant areas within the townships of Narrabri, Boggabri and Wee Waa on an 'Active Street Frontages' Map. The maps included in the Planning Proposal illustrating the land affected by the provision are satisfactory for exhibition purposes.

Prior to seeking a Parliamentary Counsel opinion for the Plan, LEP mapping prepared in accordance with the Department's technical mapping guidelines will need to be prepared.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Whilst Council have not specifically indicated an exhibition period timeframe, the Planning Proposal is considered to be a 'low impact' proposal and a 14 notification period is considered to be appropriate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by:				
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;				
	2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the				
	outcomes;				
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;				
	4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and				
	5. Providing a project time line.				
	Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the				
	Planning Proposal deals only with matters of local significance, it is considered				
	appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council.				
	The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for				
	submission to the Department for notification in June 2016. To ensure an adequate				
	period to complete the proposal a 6 month time frame is recommended.				
	It is noted that the proposal currently contains a draft Active Street Frontage clause				
	based on a similar provision from the current Hurstville LEP. Prior to public exhibition,				
	the Planning Proposal should be amended to remove the draft clause and include only				
	a 'plain english' version of the intended clause. This will avoid possible confusion				
	during community consultation and allow Parliamentary Counsel to draft a clause				

consistent with the intent of the proposal.			
Proposal Assessment			2019 (Jackson)
Principal LEP:			
Due Date :			
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Narrabri LEP was ma	de in December 2012.	
Assessment Criteria	1		
Need for planning proposal :	local provision that r promote land uses of Boggabri and Wee W require that all future	ing Proposal is to amend Narrabri LEP elates to 'Active Street Frontages'. The n the ground floor of the identified (ma aa that attract pedestrian traffic. It is in development within the mapped area ound level ensuring that these areas a crest.	e purpose of this clause is to upped) CBD streets of Narrabri, ntended that this clause will s have business and/or retail
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Strategic Regional La	trategies apply to the Narrabri LGA, th and Use Plan does apply. The Planning rovisions of the Strategic Regional La	Proposal is considered to be
		al has been identified as being consis Council's Secretary approved Narrabri s).	
Environmental social economic impacts :	they classified as bus	not contain any threatened habitat, sp shfire prone. Some of the land is subje will result from the proposed amendr	ect to flooding, but as no
	environmental, socia	nat the Planning Proposal will have an I or economic impacts and overall will rels and visual appeal of the relevant I	produce a positive outcome in
Assessment Proces	S		
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :			

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :					
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No		No			
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes		Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(t	o) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					

h

Narrabri LEP 2012 - Amendment No 8 - Active Street Frontages in Central Business Districts

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public	
Narrabri Shire_Planning Proposal - Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Counci Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes	

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	4.3 Flood Prone Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:		
	 The Planning Proposal be supported; The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 14 days; The Planning Proposal be completed within 6 months; That the Planning Proposal be amended prior to exhibition so that it only contains a plain English explanation of the intent of the proposed clause; and That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council. 		
Supporting Reasons :	The new 'Active Street Frontages' clause will help ensure more vibrant and visually appealing business districts for Narrabri, Boggabri and Wee Waa, that in turn will have positive effects on the overall social and economic health of the local communities.		
	N		
Signature:	<u> </u>		
Printed Name:	Cray Diss Date: 11/2/16		